

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/772,051	01/29/2001	Kazuhisa Shida	0941.65172	8505
24978 7	590 02/02/2005		EXAMINER	
GREER, BURNS & CRAIN			RICKMAN, HOLLY C	
300 S WACKE	ER DR		ADTIBUT	
25TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL	CHICAGO, IL 60606			
			DATE MAILED: 02/02/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/772,051	SHIDA ET AL.
Autiony Aution	Examiner	Art Unit
	Holly Rickman	1773
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 10 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appears Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply to a high places the application in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (c)	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing SFILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension ount of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:	
(a) M they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be		
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejec	tion(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w	• • •	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed: none.		
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,5-10,12,13,15 and 16</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none		
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ app	roved or b) ☐ disapproved by t	he Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme		
10. Other:	m(o)(1101440)1 upoi 140(o)	Holly Clel
		Holly Rickman Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1773

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the combination of "a larger sum total content of elements, other than Cr" and a second underalyer including at least one of "Mo, W, V, and Ta" is a new issue that would require further consideration and search.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are directed to non-entered claim amendments.

HOLLY PICKNAN PRIMARY EXAMINER